

## ON THE MULTIVARIATE ANALOGUE OF SEQUENTIAL SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION PROBLEM

AJIT CHATURVEDI

*Lucknow University, Lucknow*

(Received : December, 1984)

### SUMMARY

Sequential procedures are proposed for simultaneous estimation of the mean vector and scalar multiplier of covariance matrix of a  $p$ -variate normal population. Asymptotic behaviours of the procedures are studied.

*Keywords* :  $p$ -variate normal population; Euclidean space; Loss function.

### Introduction

Mukhopadhyay [2] developed sequential procedures for simultaneous estimation of the mean and variance of a univariate normal population. He constructed a semi-circular region of given maximum diameter, which covers these parameters with prescribed confidence coefficient. Sequential point estimation procedure (the loss being quadratic) was also discussed.

In the present article, a multivariate extension of Mukhopadhyay's procedure is given. The population to be sampled is a  $p$ -variate normal population  $N_p(\underline{\mu}, \sigma^2 I_p)$ , where  $\underline{\mu}$  is unknown mean vector,  $\sigma^2$  is unknown scalar, and  $I_p$  stands for a  $p \times p$  identity matrix. Thus the problem is to estimate  $\theta = (\underline{\mu}, \sigma^2)'$ .

Let  $\{\tilde{X}_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent random observations from  $N_p(\underline{\mu}, \sigma^2 I_p)$ . Having recorded a sample  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  of size  $n$ , define, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = (p(n-1))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_n)' (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_n)$$

as the estimators for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ , respectively. It is easy to verify that these estimators are unbiased and consistent for the corresponding parameters. Moreover, the variance covariance matrix of  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_n$  is  $(\sigma^2/n)\mathbf{I}_p$  and  $p(n-1)\hat{\sigma}_n^2/\sigma^2$  is distributed as  $\chi^2$  with  $p(n-1)$  degrees of freedom.

Given  $d$ ,  $\alpha$  ( $d > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ), suppose one wishes to construct a semi-circular region  $R_n$  in  $p$ -dimensional Euclidean space such that  $P(\underline{\theta} \in R_n) \geq \alpha$  and the diameter of  $R_n$  is less than or equal to  $2d$ . It is proposed

$$R_n = \{Z = (\mathbf{a}, b) : b > 0 \text{ and } (\mathbf{Z}_n - Z)'(\mathbf{Z}_n - Z) \leq d^2\},$$

where  $Z_n = (\bar{\mathbf{X}}_n, \hat{\sigma}_n^2)'$ .

Now define a  $(p+1) \times (p+1)$  positive definite matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_p & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma^4/p \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\lambda = \max\{\sigma^2, 2\sigma^4/p\}$ . It can be verified that the ellipsoid

$$R_n^* = \{Z = (\mathbf{a}, b) : b > 0 \text{ and } \lambda(\mathbf{Z}_n - Z)'Q^{-1}(\mathbf{Z}_n - Z) \leq d^2\}$$

is contained in  $R_n$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\underline{\theta} \in R_n^*) &= P\{(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_n - \underline{\mu})'(\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_p)^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_n - \underline{\mu}) + (2\sigma^4/p)^{-1}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)^2 \leq d^2/y\} \\ &= P\left\{\frac{1}{n} \chi_p^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} \chi_1^2 \leq d^2/\lambda\right\} \\ &\geq P\left\{\chi_{(p+1)}^2 \leq \frac{d^2}{\lambda} (n-1)\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Let 'a' be any constant such that

$$P(\chi_{(p+1)}^2 \leq a^2) = \alpha \quad (1.2)$$

It is clear from (1.1) and (1.2) that for  $\sigma$  known, in order to achieve  $P(\underline{\theta} \in R_n^*) \geq \alpha$ , the required sample size  $n$  is the smallest positive integer greater than or equal to  $n^*$ , where  $n^* = 1 + (a/d)^2 \lambda$ .

However, in absence of any knowledge about  $\sigma$ , no fixed-sample size procedure serves the purpose. In such a situation adopt a sequential procedure which is discussed in the next section.

2. The Sequential Procedure

Let

$$\hat{Q}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_n^2 I_p & 0 \\ 0 & 2\hat{\sigma}_n^4/p \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\hat{\lambda}_n = \max \{ \hat{\sigma}_n^2, 2\hat{\sigma}_n^4/p \}$ . The stopping rule is defined as follows.

The stopping time  $N \equiv N(d)$  is the smallest positive integer  $n \geq m$  ( $> 2$ ) such that

$$n \geq (a_n/d)^2 \hat{\lambda}_n + 1, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\{a_n\} n = 1, 2, \dots$  is a sequence of positive constants, converging to 'a'. When stop, construct  $R_N$  for  $\theta$ .

Now establish the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *N is well-defined, non-decreasing as a function of d, and*

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} N = \infty \text{ a.s.} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} \frac{N}{n^*} = 1 \text{ a.s.} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} E\left(\frac{N}{n^*}\right) = 1 \tag{2.4}$$

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} P(\theta \in R_N) \geq \alpha \tag{2.5}$$

*Proof.* Result (2.2) follows from the definition of  $N$  at (2.1).

Note the basic inequality

$$\left(\frac{a_N}{d}\right)^2 \hat{\lambda}_N + 1 \leq N \leq \left(\frac{a_{N-1}}{d}\right)^2 \hat{\lambda}_{N-1} + 1 + m$$

or

$$\left(\frac{a_N}{a}\right)^2 \frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{n^*} \leq \frac{N}{n^*} \leq \left(\frac{a_{N-1}}{a}\right)^2 \frac{\hat{\lambda}_{N-1}}{\lambda} + \frac{(m+1)}{n^*} \tag{2.6}$$

which, along with (2.2), and the facts that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} a_N = Q \text{ a.s.}$ ,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\lambda}_N = \lambda \text{ a.s.}$ , gives (2.3).

Again note that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_n^2 &= (p(n-1))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_n)' (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_n) \\ &\leq (p(n-1))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \underline{\mu})' (\mathbf{X}_i - \underline{\mu}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{p(n-1)} \sum_{j=2}^{p(n-1)} U_j^2\end{aligned}$$

where  $\{U_j\}$ ,  $j = 2, 3, \dots$  is a sequence of independent standard normal variates. Hence from the Wiener ergodic theorem (see, Wiener [6])

$$\left\{ \sup_{n \geq 2} \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{p(n-1)} U_j^2}{p(n-1)} \right\}$$

has its fourth moment finite. Thus the expression on the right hand side of  $N/n^*$  in (2.6) is integrable and (2.3), together with dominated convergence theorem provides (2.4).

It follows from a result of Anscombe [1] that as  $d \rightarrow 0$

$$(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_N - \underline{\mu})' \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{N} I_p \right)^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{X}}_N - \underline{\mu}) + \frac{(\hat{\sigma}_N^2 - \sigma^2)^2}{(2\sigma^4/p(N-1))}$$

has limiting distribution  $\chi^2$  with  $(p+1)$  degrees of freedom. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} P(\theta \in R_N) &\geq \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} P(\theta \in R_N^*) \\ &\geq P\left\{ \chi_{(p+1)}^2 \leq \frac{d^2}{\lambda} (n^* - 1) \right\} = \alpha\end{aligned}$$

in view of (2.3).

REMARK. Following Robbins [3] and Starr [4], one can derive sequential procedures for simultaneous estimation of  $\underline{\mu}$  and  $\sigma^2$  under the loss function

$$L_n(C) = (\bar{\mathbf{X}}_n - \underline{\mu})' (\bar{\mathbf{X}}_n - \underline{\mu}) + (\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)^2 + Cn$$

where  $C$  is the known cost per unit observation. The value  $n_0$  of  $n$  which minimizes the risk is (approximately)  $C^{-1/2} \sigma (p + \sigma^2/2p)^{1/2}$ . Since the stopping rule and estimation rule are highly dependent, the technique of Starr/Woodrooffe [5] can be adopted to prove asymptotic risk-efficiency of the procedure.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anscombe, F. J. (1952) : Large sample theory of sequential estimation, *Proc. Comb. Philos. Soc.*, **48** : 600-607.
- [2] Mukhopadhyay, N. (1981) : Sequential approach to simultaneous estimation of the mean and variance, *Metrika*, **28** : 133-136.
- [3] Robbins, H. (1959) : Sequential estimation of the mean of a normal population. *Prob. and Statist. (Harold Cramer Vol.)*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [4] Starr, N. (1966) : On the asymptotic efficiency of a sequential procedure for estimating the mean, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **37** : 1173-1185.
- [5] Starr, N. and Woodroffe, M. (1972) : Further remarks on sequential estimation: The exponential case, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **43** : 1147-1154.
- [6] Wiener, N. (1939) : The ergodic theorem, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **5** : 1-18.